

December 1992

HU Berlin-IEP-92/7

hep-th@xxx/9212004

Remarks on the continuum formulation of noncritical strings¹

H. Dorn and H.-J. Otto²

*Fachbereich Physik der Humboldt-Universität
Institut für Elementarteilchenphysik
Invalidenstraße 110, D-O-1040 Berlin, Germany*

Abstract

We discuss various aspects of the calculation of correlation functions in conformal theories coupled to quantized 2-dimensional gravity. The main emphasis lies on the construction of a continuation in the number of insertions of the cosmological constant operator for *arbitrary* dimension of the target space. Following closely our paper [18] we add a more extended introduction and discussion of the peculiarities in 1-dimensional target space as well as some further remarks about the 4-point function.

¹to appear in the proceedings of the *XXVI. Int. Symp. on the Theory of Elementary Particles*
Wendisch-Rietz, September 1992

²e-mail: dorn@ifh.de or otto@ifh.de

1 Introduction

We will discuss here some properties of the N -point correlation function

$$G_N = \langle V_{(1)}(z_1) \dots V_{(N)}(z_N) \rangle \quad (1)$$

in the continuum formulation based on the functional integral approach. The $V_{(i)}$ represent primary operators in a conformal theory which have been "dressed" after coupling to quantized 2-dimensional gravity. The G_N 's are needed also in string theory, after integration over the z_i 's one gets string S-matrix elements

$$A_N = \frac{1}{V_{CKV}} \int \prod_i dz_i G_N(z_1, \dots, z_N) \quad (2)$$

The simplest case is

$$V_{(j)}(z) = V_{k_j}(z) = e^{ik_j X(z)} \quad (3)$$

where $X(z)$ is the string position in a d -dimensional target space ($V_k(z)$ tachyon vertex-operator) or an 1-dimensional free field with background charge (Coulomb gas description of minimal conformal models). For *critical* strings the Weyl degree of freedom $e^{\phi(z)}$ (ϕ Liouville field) in the 2-dimensional metrics

$$g_{ab} = e^{\phi(z)} \hat{g}_{ab}(z) \quad (4)$$

has to decouple. This yields the well known restriction $d = 26$. On the other side for *noncritical* strings d is a priori arbitrary. $\phi(z)$ couples as a quantum field and the total action is [1, 2, 3]

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} \hat{g}^{mn}(z) \partial_m X^\mu(z) \partial_n X_\mu(z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} \left(\hat{g}^{mn}(z) \partial_m \phi(z) \partial_n \phi(z) + Q \hat{R}(z) \phi(z) + \mu^2 e^{\alpha \phi(z)} \right) \\ &+ \text{ghost part.} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$Q^2 = \frac{25-d}{3}, \quad \alpha_\pm = \frac{Q}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{Q^2-8}}{2}, \quad \alpha \equiv \alpha_- \quad (6)$$

This choice of parameters ensures the vanishing of the total central charge

$$c_M + c_L - 26 = c_{tot} = 0 \quad (7)$$

and conformal dimension 1 for $e^{\alpha \phi}$. Then the partition function is invariant with respect to scalings of the background metrics $\hat{g}$. The action (5) can be compared with that for a $(d+1)$ -dimensional string living in a general target space [4]

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2z \sqrt{g} \left(G_{MN}(X(z)) g^{mn} \partial_m X^M(z) \partial_n X^N(z) - \frac{i\epsilon^{mn}}{\sqrt{g}} B_{MN} \partial_m X^M \partial_n X^N \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha' R^{(2)} \Phi(X(z)) + \alpha' T(X(z)) \right) \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

Weyl invariance requires the renormalization group $\bar{\beta}$ -functions to vanish. Identifying ϕ with the $(d+1)$ th coordinate

$$X^M = (X^\mu, \phi) , \quad (9)$$

we see that on the level of the action a noncritical string in flat d -dimensional space is equivalent to a (critical) string in $(d+1)$ -dimensional target space with trivial G, B but nontrivial Φ, T

$$\Phi(X^M(z)) = \frac{1}{2}Q\phi(z) , \quad (10)$$

$$T(X^M(z)) = \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 e^{\alpha\phi(z)} . \quad (11)$$

This describes a linear dilaton and exponential tachyon background. While this equivalence for the action is trivial it remains an open question for string S-matrix elements. The problem is connected with the question whether there are indeed asymptotic states in the target space, too [5].

Concluding this introduction we summarize some well known facts which one should have in mind in the discussion of the following sections. From (6) one finds α to be real for $d \leq 1$, complex for $1 < d < 25$ and pure imaginary for $d \geq 25$. Therefore, the model at least in the present formulation is inconsistent for $1 < d < 25$. For $d \geq 25$, after a redefinition $\phi \rightarrow i\phi$, the Liouville field can be interpreted as a time-like coordinate [6, 7]. The change from $\mu^2 = 0$ to $\mu^2 \neq 0$ is for all d highly nontrivial. There is no smooth limit $\mu^2 \rightarrow 0$, scalings in μ^2 can always be compensated by a shift in ϕ , for $\mu^2 \neq 0$ in contrast to $\mu^2 = 0$ there is no $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariant vacuum [8].

2 Peculiarities for 1-dimensional target space

Although $d = 1$ is unphysical from the string point of view, this case has attracted particular attention. Besides its relevance for minimal conformal models, this is due to the achievements in the study of matrix models [10, 9]. In these models one can sum the contributions of world surfaces of all topological genera via the so called double scaling limit. To check whether the emerging theory has indeed something to do with what one would expect to be the continuum formulation of a conformal theory coupled to 2-dim gravity, correlation functions have been calculated via the continuum functional integral representation, both for $\mu^2 = 0$ as well as for $\mu^2 \neq 0$ [11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

To motivate our discussion in the following sections we now want to emphasize the kinematical peculiarities for $d = 1$. The barrier at $d = 1$ mentioned at the end of section 1 is in fact a barrier in the central charge c_M of the matter field X . Adding a background charge P^μ for the matter field in eq.(5), i.e.

$$S \rightarrow S + \frac{i}{8\pi} \int d^2z \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{R}(z) P^\mu X_\mu(z) , \quad (12)$$

leads to

$$c_M = d - 3P^2 \quad , \quad Q^2 = \frac{25 - c_M}{3} = \frac{25 - d}{3} + P^2 \quad (13)$$

with unchanged $\alpha(Q)$ relation,(6). The gravitational dressed tachyon vertex operator [1, 2, 3] is

$$V_k = e^{ikX(z)} e^{\beta\phi(z)} \quad (14)$$

with

$$\beta = \frac{Q}{2} - \sqrt{m^2 + (k - P/2)^2} \quad (15)$$

$$m^2 \equiv \frac{1 - d}{12} \quad . \quad (16)$$

β is real for most of the k , but there is an exceptional compact domain E whose boundary is given by $\beta = \frac{Q}{2}$ and which is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 + k_\perp^2 &< 0, \\ -\sqrt{-m^2 - k_\perp^2} &< k_\parallel - \frac{|P|}{2} < +\sqrt{-m^2 - k_\perp^2} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with $k_\parallel, k_\perp$ denoting the components of d -dimensional momentum k parallel and orthogonal to P . We start out with $k \notin E$ and continue afterwards.

Let us consider for the moment the simpler case $\mu^2 = 0$. Then the integrations in the functional integral for A_N are all of Gaussian type and we find e.g. for A_4 at arbitrary d up to trivial numerical factors

$$A_4 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{S+m^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{S+m^2}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{T+m^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{T+m^2}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{U+m^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{U+m^2}{2})} \quad . \quad (18)$$

This is just the $(d+1)$ -dimensional critical Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude with a slightly modified definition of the Mandelstam variables

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \left(k_1 + k_2 - \frac{P}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \frac{Q}{2}\right)^2 \\ T &= \left(k_1 + k_3 - \frac{P}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\beta_1 + \beta_3 - \frac{Q}{2}\right)^2 \\ U &= \left(k_1 + k_4 - \frac{P}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\beta_1 + \beta_4 - \frac{Q}{2}\right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\sum_i k_i = P \quad , \quad \sum_i \beta_i = Q \quad . \quad (20)$$

Now for $d = 1$ i.e. $m^2 = 0$ the $\beta(k)$ relation linearizes

$$\beta = \frac{Q}{2} - \epsilon_i \left(k_i - \frac{P}{2}\right) \quad , \quad \epsilon_i = \text{sgn}\left(k_i - \frac{P}{2}\right) \quad . \quad (21)$$

The sum rules for the k 's and β 's (20) imply separate sum rules for the k_i with $\epsilon_i = +1$ and the k_i with $\epsilon_i = -1$ [13]. Not all ϵ_i can be equal. In the case $(-+++)$ one finds e.g.

$$k_1 = -\frac{Q}{2}, \quad k_2 + k_3 + k_4 = \frac{Q}{2} + P. \quad (22)$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{(Q+P)^2}{4} - k_2(Q+P) \\ T &= \frac{(Q+P)^2}{4} - k_3(Q+P) \\ U &= \frac{(Q+P)^2}{4} - k_4(Q+P) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and therefore a factorization of the amplitude into "leg-factors" depending on a single momentum only. To understand the infinite number of poles in spite of the absence of transversal degrees of freedom at $(1+1)$ dimensions one has to perform a complete BRST analysis [16, 17].

3 Three point function for $d > 1$, $\mu^2 \neq 0$

The calculation of correlation functions for the nontrivial case of nonvanishing cosmological constant μ^2 has been done by continuation in the quantity s defined below. In this process extensive use has been made of the relations among momenta due to the kinematical peculiarities in $d = 1$ dimensional target space [12, 13, 14, 15]. We now want to get rid of this constraint and follow closely our paper [18].

The three point function has been calculated in [12, 13] for positive integer s

$$\alpha s = Q - \sum_{j=1}^3 \beta_j \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \delta\left(\sum_j k_j - P\right) \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{\alpha} \Gamma(1+s) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{8} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{\alpha^2}{2})}{\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2})}\right)^s \times \\ &\exp\left\{f\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}, -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right) - f\left(1+\frac{\alpha^2}{2}, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(f\left(1-\alpha\beta_j, -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right) - f\left(\alpha\beta_j, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right)\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The infinity due to the pole in $\Gamma(-s)$ is understood as a signal of the logarithmic modification of the μ -scaling law for positive integer s [13, 3]. We introduced $f(a, b|s)$ as

$$f(a, b|s) = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \log \Gamma(a + bj). \quad (26)$$

This function fulfills the relations

$$f(a, b|s+1) = f(a, b|s) + \log \Gamma(a+bs) \quad , \quad (27)$$

$$f(a+1, b|s) = f(a, b|s) + s \log b + \log \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{b} + s\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \quad , \quad (28)$$

$$f(a+b, b|s) = f(a, b|s) + \log \Gamma(a+bs) - \log \Gamma(a) \quad , \quad (29)$$

$$f(a+b(s-1), -b|s) = f(a, b|s) \quad , \quad (30)$$

$$f(2a, 2b|s) = f(a, b|s) + f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}, b|s\right) + (s(s-1)b + s(2a-1)) \log 2 - \frac{s}{2} \log \pi \quad , \quad (31)$$

$$f(a, 0|s) = s \log \Gamma(a) \quad , \quad (32)$$

$$f\left(a, \frac{1}{s}|s\right) = \frac{1}{2}(s-1) \log 2\pi + \left(\frac{1}{2} - sa\right) \log s + \log \Gamma(sa). \quad (33)$$

We have found a continuation of f to arbitrary s , which is given by the following integral representation

$$f(a, b|s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left(s(a-1)e^{-t} + b \frac{s(s-1)}{2} e^{-t} - s \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} + \frac{(1-e^{-tbs})e^{-at}}{(1-e^{-tb})(1-e^{-t})} \right). \quad (34)$$

By careful manipulations with this integral representation one can show, that our continuation fulfills all the functional relations (27-33) also for generic complex a, b, s . The integral representation (34) is convergent for positive real parts of a, b, s . Together with the functional relations this fixes the complete analytical structure of $f(a, b|s)$. Due to (30) we can restrict ourselves to $\text{Re} b > 0$. Then we find via (27) and (28) that $\exp(f(a, b|s))$ has poles at

$$a = -bj - l \quad (\text{poles}) \quad (35)$$

and zeros at

$$a + bs = -bj - l \quad (\text{zeros}) \quad , \quad (36)$$

with $\text{Re} b > 0$; $j, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The order of poles and zeros is determined by the number of different realizations of the r.h.s. of eqs. (35) and (36), respectively. Using (30) we get from (25)

$$A_3 = \delta\left(\sum_j k_j - P\right) \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{\alpha} \Gamma(1+s) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{8} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2})}{\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2})} \right)^s \prod_{i=0}^3 F_i \quad , \quad (37)$$

where we introduced

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\beta}_i &\equiv 1/2(\beta_j + \beta_k - \beta_i) = 1/2(Q - \alpha s) - \beta_i \quad , \\ (i, j, k) &= \text{perm}(1, 2, 3) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$F_i = \exp\left\{f\left(\alpha \overline{\beta}_i, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right) - f\left(\alpha \beta_i, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right)\right\} \quad (39)$$

and

$$\alpha\beta_0 = 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}, \quad \alpha\overline{\beta_0} = -\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}. \quad (40)$$

Eqs. (35) and (36) determine the poles and zeros of F_i as follows

$$2\beta_i = \alpha_+ l_i + \alpha_-(j_i - s) \quad (\text{poles}), \quad (41)$$

$$2\beta_i = \alpha_+ l_i + \alpha_- j_i \quad (\text{zeros}). \quad (42)$$

The integers j_i, l_i have to be both ≤ 0 or both > 0 , i.e.

$$(j_i - 1/2)(l_i - 1/2) > 0. \quad (43)$$

For general s poles and zeros are located at different values of β_i , but if $\alpha_- s = \alpha_- m + \alpha_+ n$ with integer m, n a lot of poles and zeros cancel.

Of special interest for our later discussion is integer $s > 0$. In this case the remaining pole-zero pattern is given by

$$2\beta_i = \alpha_+(l_i + 1) - \alpha_- j_i \quad (\text{poles}) \quad , \quad (44)$$

$$2\beta_i = -\alpha_+ l_i - \alpha_- j_i \quad (\text{zeros}) \quad , \quad (45)$$

with

$$\text{integer } s \geq 0; \quad j_i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1; \quad l_i = 0, 1, \dots, \infty. \quad (46)$$

In contrast to the general case the pole positions in β_i are bounded from below.

Such boundedness is achieved also for $s > 0$ with

$$\alpha_- s = \alpha_+ n + \alpha_-([s] - m); \quad 1 \leq n \leq m \leq [s]. \quad (47)$$

Special care is needed for F_0 . Due to (40) for all s we are just sitting on the $j_0 = l_0 = 1$ zero of (42). On the other hand, for positive integer s , where we start our continuation, due to pole-zero cancellation F_0 is finite. A finite F_0 emerges also in the more general situation

$$\alpha_- s = \alpha_+(l_0 - 1) + \alpha_-(j_0 - 1) \quad , \quad (48)$$

with l_0, j_0 fulfilling (43).

In all cases where pole-zero cancellation does not guarantee finite F_0 we treat it using (28) as

$$F_0 = -\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)^{-s} \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(1+s)} \exp \left[f\left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}s, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right) - f\left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}|s\right) \right], \quad (49)$$

where a factor $\Gamma(0) \sin \pi s$ has been set equal to 1. Of course, this procedure still has to be justified by comparing the s -asymptotics of the resulting expression with that of the original functional integral. (For $d = 1$ see ref [15].)

The analytic structure of A_3 beyond the trivial s -dependence in F_0 and the first factors in (37) is determined by that of $\prod_{i=1}^3 F_i$. Eliminating s in (41) by using (38) we get finally

$$2\overline{\beta}_i = \alpha_- j_i + \alpha_+ l_i \quad (\text{poles}) \quad , \quad (50)$$

$$2\beta_i = \alpha_- j_i + \alpha_+ l_i \quad (\text{zeros}) \quad , \quad (51)$$

with j_i, l_i respecting (43).

In contrast to the $d = 1$ case, which we are going to discuss below, for general $d > 1$ the pole structure does not factorize into leg poles. An attempt to interpret the pole structure will be made in section 4.

For $d > 1$ the three β_i 's are independent. For $d = 1$ there remains no angular degree of freedom in k -space. The defining equation (15) reduces to eq. (21). Then momentum conservation $\sum k_i = P$ induces constraints among the β_i 's [13].³ The ϵ_i must be either all equal to one another but opposite to $\text{sign}(P)$ or of the type $(+ + -)$ or $(- - +)$: One finds in the first case for $i=1,2,3$

$$2\overline{\beta}_i = -2\beta_i + \frac{3Q + P}{2} \quad . \quad (52)$$

For $(+ + -)$ one gets instead

$$2\overline{\beta}_1 = 2\beta_2 - \frac{Q + P}{2}, \quad 2\overline{\beta}_2 = 2\beta_1 - \frac{Q + P}{2}, \quad 2\overline{\beta}_3 = \frac{Q + P}{2} \quad . \quad (53)$$

Finally, for the case $(- - +)$ one has to replace in (53) P by $-P$.

In all cases the position of any pole or zero of $\prod_{i=1}^3 F_i$ becomes a function of a single β_i . To be more explicit, we consider the situation studied in detail in [13], i.e. $(+ + -)$ and $P < 0$. Then $2\alpha = Q + P$ and using eqs.(28),(29),(39) and (49) we get from (37)

$$A_3 = -\pi\delta(\sum k_i - P)\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(-\frac{\alpha^2\mu^2}{16}\Delta\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)\right)^s \prod_{i=1}^3 \Delta(m_{(i)}) \quad , \quad (54)$$

with $\Delta(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(1-x)}$, $m_{(i)} = (\beta_i^2 - k_i^2)/2$. This coincides with [13] up to a trivial factor $-\pi(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2})^s$.

4 Four point function for $d > 1$, $\mu^2 \neq 0$

Performing the functional integral using the technique of refs. [12, 13] one finds for integer $s_4 \geq 0$

$$\alpha s_4 = Q - \sum_{i=1}^4 \beta_i \quad (55)$$

³Remember that for $\mu^2 \neq 0$ there is *no* sum rule $\sum \beta_i = Q$.

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 = & \delta(\sum k_j - P) \frac{\Gamma(-s_4)}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{8\pi}\right)^{s_4} \\
& \int d^2 z \prod_{I=1}^{s_4} d^2 w_I |z|^{2k_4 k_1 - 2\beta_4 \beta_1} |1 - z|^{2k_4 k_2 - 2\beta_4 \beta_2} \prod_{1 \leq I < J \leq s_4} |w_I - w_J|^{-2\alpha^2} \\
& \prod_{I=1}^{s_4} |z - w_I|^{-2\alpha\beta_4} |w_I|^{-2\alpha\beta_1} |1 - w_I|^{-2\alpha\beta_2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Unfortunately up to now there is no generalization of the Dotsenko-Fateev integral formula [19] to more general exponents as needed in (56). The determination of A_4 via functional relations in [13] makes extensive use of the relations between the exponents induced by the peculiar kinematics in $d = 1$ dimensions.

Therefore, as a first step we consider special 4-point functions which, hopefully, still allow an exploration of the general singularity structure in a definite Mandelstam channel. The first possibility is

$$A_4(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 = 0) = \frac{d}{d\mu^2} A_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{s}{\mu^2} A_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) , \tag{57}$$

the second simple possibility is based on the requirement $\beta_4 = 0$. This decouples the z and w integrals resulting in ($\tilde{A}_3$ is the 3-point function A_3 without the momentum conservation δ -function)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = & \delta(\sum_{i=1}^4 k_i - P) \tilde{A}_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) \\
& \pi \frac{\Gamma(1 + k_4 k_1)}{\Gamma(-k_4 k_1)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + k_4 k_2)}{\Gamma(-k_4 k_2)} \frac{\Gamma(-1 - k_4 k_1 - k_4 k_2)}{\Gamma(2 + k_4 k_1 + k_4 k_2)}
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

with

$$(k_4 - \frac{P}{2})^2 = \frac{Q^2}{4} - m^2 . \tag{59}$$

Using (15) and $\sum k_i = P$ one finds for arbitrary k_i the relation

$$2(k_2 k_3 + k_2 k_4 + k_3 k_4) = (\beta_1 - \frac{Q}{2})^2 - \sum_{i=2,3,4} (\beta_i - \frac{Q}{2})^2 + 2m^2 + \frac{P^2}{2} \tag{60}$$

and 3 further eqs. for cyclic permutations of the indices.

In the case $k_4 = 0$ this means $((i, j, l) = \text{perm}(1, 2, 3))$

$$2k_i k_j = (\beta_l - \frac{Q}{2})^2 - (\beta_i - \frac{Q}{2})^2 - (\beta_j - \frac{Q}{2})^2 + m^2 + \frac{P^2}{4} . \tag{61}$$

In the other case $\beta_4 = 0$ as in general the products $k_i k_j$ are not fixed by the β_i .

We now turn to the discussion of $k_4 = 0$ i.e. expression (57). The relation (61) then implies that any candidate for Mandelstam variables in this special situation can be expressed in terms of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$. If one defines with a d -dimensional picture in mind

$$t_{12} = (k_1 + k_2 - \frac{P}{2})^2 = (k_3 - \frac{P}{2})^2 = t_{34} \tag{62}$$

etc., the pole positions (50) are determined by

$$\sqrt{t_{23} + m^2} - \sqrt{t_{13} + m^2} - \sqrt{t_{12} + m^2} = \alpha_-(j - \frac{1}{2}) + \alpha_+(l - \frac{1}{2}) \quad . \quad (63)$$

To get a more familiar picture we now use the correspondence to $(d + 1)$ -dimensional critical strings [13]. For $\mu^2 = 0$ the amplitudes are equal to that of a $(d + 1)$ -dimensional critical string theory with a conservation law

$$\sum k_i = P, \quad \sum \beta_i = Q$$

and eq. (15) can be interpreted as a mass shell condition

$$(\beta - \frac{Q}{2})^2 - (k - \frac{P}{2})^2 = m^2 \quad . \quad (64)$$

The corresponding Mandelstam variables are

$$T_{ij}^0 = (\beta_i + \beta_j - \frac{Q}{2})^2 - (k_i + k_j - \frac{P}{2})^2 \quad .$$

Also for $\mu^2 \neq 0$ but integer $s_4 \geq 0$, with

$$\alpha s_4 = Q - \sum_{i=1}^4 \beta_i \quad , \quad (65)$$

a $(d + 1)$ -dimensional interpretation is possible. The 4-point function then turns into a $(4 + s_4)$ -point function with $k_5 = k_6 = \dots = k_{4+s_4} = 0$. Motivated by these special cases we define in general

$$T_{ij} = (\beta_i + \beta_j - \frac{Q - \alpha s_4}{2})^2 - (k_i + k_j - \frac{P}{2})^2. \quad (66)$$

This ensures $T_{12} = T_{34}$ etc. and fulfills

$$T_{12} + T_{13} + T_{14} = 4m^2 - \frac{1}{4}(Q^2 - P^2 + \alpha s_4(\alpha s_4 + 2Q)). \quad (67)$$

Applying this general definition to our special case (57) we get ($k_4 = 0, \beta_4 = \alpha, s_4 = s - 1$)

$$T_{i4} = m^2 - \alpha(1 + \frac{s_4}{2})(2\bar{\beta}_i + \frac{\alpha s_4}{2}) ; \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (68)$$

This gives a transcription of the pole structure (50) of the 3-point function into poles in the Mandelstam variables (66) of the (special) 4-point function. At least under the constraint of fixed $T_{14} + T_{24} + T_{34}$, i.e. fixed $s_4 = s - 1$ the poles (50) turn directly into poles in the individual Mandelstam variables. The resulting spectrum is for general s unbounded in both directions.

For the particular case of fixed integer $s \geq 0$, due to the pole-zero cancellation leading to (44),(45) we find poles in e.g. $T_{12} = T_{34}$ only for

$$T_{34} = m^2 + (2 + s_4) \left(l - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left(j - \frac{s_4}{2} \right) \right). \quad (69)$$

These poles can be seen to be due to the standard poles at $m^2 + 2l$, *integer* $l \geq 0$ in those channels of the $(4 + s_4)$ -point amplitude which are constituted by the momenta k_1, k_2 and j times $k = 0$. The pole spectrum in T_{34} is bounded from below also for values of s fulfilling (47). It is remarkable that than in addition F_0 is well defined without any modification.

For the other special case $\beta_4 = 0$ a $(d + 1)$ -dimensional point of view seems to be doubtful from the very beginning. The factor $\tilde{A}_3$ depends on the momenta only via $\beta_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$, but now the β 's cannot be expressed in terms of the Mandelstam variables. In contrast to the case before we are not in the rest frame of one particle.

Defining as in (62) d -dimensional Mandelstam variables t_{ij} we find

$$A_4 = \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 k_i - P \right) \pi \tilde{A}_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t_{4i} + m_i^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{t_{4i} + m_i^2}{2})} \quad (70)$$

$$\text{with } \left(k_i - \frac{P}{2} \right)^2 = \left(\beta_i - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 - m^2 = -m_i^2 .$$

The β_i parametrize the masses. Any quantization condition for the Liouville momenta β_i generates a discrete set of masses m_i^2 . The pole spectrum in t_{4i} is

$$t_{4i} = -m_i^2 - 2n, \quad n \text{ integer } \geq 0. \quad (71)$$

This generates a constraint on the allowed values for the *hypothetically* quantized values for β

$$\beta_i^2 - \beta_i Q - \beta_j^2 + \beta_j Q = \text{even integer} . \quad (72)$$

5 Conclusions

We have pointed out the role of the special kinematics at $d = 1$ in the continuation from integer to noninteger along the lines of ref. [12, 13, 14] and presented a continuation *without* the constraint $d = 1$. Since the continuation from a discrete set of points is mathematically ambiguous our procedure still has to be proven to yield the correct solution for the physical problem under discussion. This can be done by comparing the $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotics with that of the original functional integral. The corresponding problem in one dimensional target space has been solved in ref.[15]. Unfortunately the technique of that paper cannot directly be applied here as it depends essentially on the discreteness of the momentum spectrum in minimal models.

Nevertheless we are confident to have presented a correct continuation procedure. First for $d = 1$ it reduces to that of refs. [12], [13], [15] and second, the resulting analytical

structure is already completely determined by the functional equations for f and the absence of singularities for $Re(a, b, s) \geq 0$.

The interpretation of the spectrum is still not completely clear. While the pole structure for integer $s \geq 0$ fits into the $(d + 1)$ -dimensional critical string picture well known from the case of vanishing Liouville mass μ , for general s the pole-zero cancellation enabling just this interpretation is removed and an unacceptable spectrum emerges. This may either signal a hidden disease of our model or only a breakdown of its $(d + 1)$ -dimensional interpretation. Favouring the last alternative one has to analyse eq. (63) and to think about mass shell conditions in d -dimensional space induced by quantization of the β_i values due to the Liouville dynamics. A possible guess would be to restrict β_i to the sum of integer multiples of α_+ and α_- . Then our results concerning the 3-point function generate some kind of fusion rules which have to be consistent with the pole pattern found for the (special) 4-point functions (71),(72). One further interesting application of the presented continuation procedure concerns the off shell amplitudes for critical strings proposed in ref. [20] recently.

References

- [1] F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 1651
- [2] J. Distler, H. Kawai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 509
- [3] H. Dorn, H.-J. Otto, *Phys. Lett.* **B232** (1989) 327, **B280** (1992) 204
- [4] A. A. Tseytlin, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.* **A4** (1989) 1257
- [5] M. Henningson, preprint Göteborg ITP 92-5 (1992)
- [6] S. R. Das, S. Naik, S. R. Wadia, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 1033
- [7] J. Polchinski, *Nucl. Phys.* **B324** (1989) 123
- [8] N. Seiberg, *Notes on Liouville Theory and Quantum Gravity*,
Prog. Theor. Phys., Suppl. **102** (1990) 319
- [9] M.R. Douglas, S.H. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B335** (1990) 635
- [10] D. Gross, A.A. Migdal, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990) 333
E. Brezin, V.A. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **B236** (1990) 144
- [11] A. M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 635
- [12] M. Goulian, M. Li, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 2051
- [13] P. Di Francesco, D. Kutasov, *Phys. Lett.* **B261** (1991) 385,
Nucl. Phys. **B375** (1992) 119
- [14] V.I.S. Dotsenko, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 3601,
preprints PAR-LPTHE 91-52, 92-4
- [15] K. Aoki, E. D'Hoker, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7** (1992) 235
- [16] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B373** (1992) 187
- [17] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, K. Pilch, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **145** (1992) 541
- [18] H. Dorn, H.-J. Otto, *Phys. Lett.* **B291** (1992) 39
- [19] V.I.S. Dotsenko, V.A. Fateev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B240** (1985) 312, **B251** (1985) 691
- [20] R. C. Myers, V. Periwai, preprints IASSNS-92-20, 92-68 (1992)